Cir. 2002) ("A party claiming the privilege must identify specific communications and the grounds supporting the privilege as to each piece of evidence over which privilege is asserted." (internal citation omitted)); Clarke v. Am. Commerce Nat'l Bank, 974 F.2d 127, 129 (9th Cir. 1992) ("blanket assertions of the privilege are extremely disfavored." (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)).

Accordingly, the parties must file supplemental briefing on this matter. The Court notes that Mr. Stein's deposition is scheduled for April 12, 2014. Defendants shall file a supplemental brief by April 25, 2014, identifying the specific testimony and documents produced in discovery that they believe are subject to the attorney-client privilege or duty of confidentiality.

Plaintiff shall file a supplemental response by May 9, 2014. The hearing scheduled for April 9, 2014 is continued to May 22, 2014 at 2:00 p.m. The Court reminds the parties that it will only consider a motion to seal the supplemental brief and response after a public redacted version of the same has been filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 7, 2014

BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ, Chief Judge United States District Court